	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/950,005	BAYNES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KRISTIE D. SHINGLES	2444
All Participants:	Status of Application: ALL	<u>-OWED</u>
(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Examiner.	(3)	
(2) Omar Amin, Atty.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 May 2009	Time: 3:15pm	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 16, 21, 30, 50 and 93		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER With Primary's approval, Examiner contacted Atty with proposed allowance. The proposed amendments include the limitation for a modification information and resolving the conflict by generating data in a device-specific format while the receiving device stores language was incorporated into independent claims 16, 21, 30, 5 conflicting scenario. All other claims not dependent on these clair proposed Examiner's Amendments and the claims were amended.	amendments that would place the letermining if received modification new modification information, and the data in a different device-specton of and 93; and dependent claims it were canceled. Atty received a	e application in condition for n information conflicts with other the distribution device storing cific format. This amended 39-92 were made for the other
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Kristic D. Shingles/ Examiner, Art Unit 2444 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.